	State	e Intellectual Prop	perty Office of the Peopl	e's Republic	of China	
	OIPE	6 Xi Tu C	Cheng Lu, Haidian, Beijin	g 100088		
	FEB 0 3 2005					
Appli	carry (FADERINALIS)	SEIKO	EPSON CORPORATI	ON	Date of Mail	ing
Attori	ney PADEMENT		LI Zheng, YU Jing		-	
	cation No.		03150200.8		Day <u>31</u> Month <u>12</u> Y	ear 2004
	of the Invention	溶	。 晶装置、液晶装置σ	製造方法、	電子機器	
Exan	nination Dept.	Examiner:	XIE, Youcheng		the Examination De	epartment
		Notification	on of the First Off	ice Action	ı	
	the above-identified	l patent application as decided to exa	as to substance onn for invention in accordance the application on	lance with A	rticle 35(1) of the P	Patent Law.
fi fi	led in JP led in JP led in The applicant has f	on 2002.0 on 2003.0 on varieties the certification of the certification	from the applications: 07.22 , filed in 4.18 , filed in filed priority documents. e certified priority documents.	uments and t	onon	, , ity claim is
	eemed not to have be The application is a	peen made under A a national phase o	Article 30 of the Patent I f a PCT application. o the application on	.aw.	and on	, wherein
٠. تـــا	the amended		submitted on	a	nd	_ ′
	the amended		submitted on	a	re not acceptable,	
			nply with Article 33 Rule 51 of the dments are not accepta	e Implementing	g Regulations of the I	Patent Law.
	Notification.	ns why the amen	differents are not accepta	ore are set in	orm in the text poi	tion of time
4. ⊠ □	The examination is claims, pa	carried out on the ages of t ages of t	e basis of the application be basis of the following of the description and draw the description and draw the description and draw the description and draw	locuments ings ings	_as originally file	d, ,
5. 🗆 🛭	The following refe	s issued with conserence documents	e search is made. ideration of the search re are cited in this Notification pro	cation (the s	serial number of th	ne reference
Serial		Reference Docur	nent	<u> </u>	Date of Publicati	on

Serial	Reference Document	Date of Publication
	L	

No.		(or the filing date of conflicting application)		
1	CN1269025A	Date <u>04</u> Month <u>10</u> Year <u>2000</u>		
2	JP2002-122872A	Date 26 Month 04 Year 2002		
3	CN1112686A	Date <u>29</u> Month <u>11</u> Year <u>1995</u>		

6.	Conclusions of the Office Action: ☐ On the Specification: ☐ The subject matter described in the application is not patentable under Article 5 of the Patent Law. ☐ The description does not comply with Article 26 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law. ☐ The draft of the description does not comply with Rule 18 of the Implementing Regulations. ☐ The draft of the description does not comply with Rule 19(3) of the Implementing Regulations. ☐ On the Claims:
	☐ Claims do not possess the novelty as required by Article 22 paragraph 2 of the Patent Law. ☐ Claims do not possess the inventiveness as required by Article 22 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law. ☐ Claims do not possess the practical applicability as required by Article 22 paragraph 4 of the Patent Law. ☐ Patent Law.
	☐ Claims are not patentable under Article 25 of the Patent Law. ☐ Claims do not comply with Article 26 paragraph 4 of the Patent Law. ☐ Claims do not comply with Article 31 paragraph 1 of the Patent Law.
	☐ Claims do not comply with the definition of invention prescribed by Rule 2 paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations.
	☐ Claims do not comply with Rule 13 paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations. ☐ Claims 2 do not comply with Rule 20 of the Implementing Regulations.
	 ☐ Claims do not comply with Rule 21 of the Implementing Regulations. ☐ Claims do not comply with Rule 22 of the Implementing Regulations. ☑ Claims 4.8 do not comply with Rule 23 of the Implementing Regulations.
7.	The explanations supporting the conclusions are included in the text portion of the Notification. In view of the conclusions set forth above, the examiner is of the opinion that:
	☐ The applicant should amend the application based on the requirements provided in the text portion of the Notification.
	☑ The applicant should expound reasons why the application is patentable and make amendments to the application where deficiencies are pointed out in the text portion of the Notification, otherwise, the application will not be allowed.
	The application contains no allowable invention, and therefore, if the applicant fails to furnish sufficient reasons to prove the patentability of the application, the application will be rejected.
0	The applicant shall pay attention to the followings:
	The applicant shall pay attention to the followings: (1) Under Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant shall make a response to the Notification within 4 months from receipt of the Notification. If, without any justified reason, the time limit is not met, the application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.
((2) Any amendments to the application should be in conformity with the provisions of Article 33 of the Patent Law. Substitution pages shall be in duplicate and the format thereof shall be in conformity with the relevant provision provided in the "Examination Guidelines".
((3) The response and/or amended documents should be mailed to or handed over to the "Reception Division" of the Patent Office, and the documents not mailed or handed over to the Reception Divisions have no legal effect.
((4) Without an appointment in advance, the applicant and/or his agent shall not interview with the examiner of the Patent Office.
9.	This Notification contains a text portion of $\underline{2}$ pages with the following attachments: $\boxtimes \underline{3}$ cited references, totaling $\underline{7}$ pages. \Box